

About me:



High-throughput screening with the Open Catalyst Project

Richard Tran[‡], Liqiang Huang[‡], Yuan Zi[‡], Shengguang Wang[‡], Benjamin M. Comer[¶], Xuqing Wu[‡], Stefan J. Raaijman[¶], Nishant K. Sinha[¶], Sajanikumari Sadasivan[¶], Shibin Thundiyil[¶], Kuldeep B. Mamtani[¶], Ganesh Iyer[¶], Hakan Demir[¶], Lars Grabow[‡], Ligang Lu[¶], and Jiefu Chen[‡]

[‡] University of Houston. [¶] Shell Oil Company



Motivation

1. High-throughput (HT) screening of catalysts using density functional theory (DFT) is expensive.
2. Machine learning potentials can speed up HT screening by predicting DFT-like quantities.
3. Allows construction of customizable screening frameworks for identifying viable catalysts
4. **Will focus on screening catalysts for H₂O splitting**

Oxides are promising OER catalysts but...

OER: $2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^-$
HER: $4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2$
 $2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2$
OER for electrolysis is **slow**

pH Activity Stability

RuO₂ has good activity, but super expensive (\$18,315/kg), also unstable. Need to find oxides that are **(1) active, (2) cheap, (3) stable**

Interpolated OC22 dataset

Contains:

- Adsorbate coverage
- O, H, N, C, OH, OOH, H₂O, CO, O₂
- Spin polarization
- Vacancy defects
- Binary oxides

Graph neural network

Scope of database

# predictions: 6 mil		
# materials: 4,119		
Ave. # slabs per material: 47		
# slab predictions: 191,902		
# adslab predictions: 2.5 mil		
OH*	O*	OOH*
2 mil	667,266	> 3 mil

Predictions allows for variety of analysis in screening: surface energy ($\gamma \rightarrow$ Wulff shapes), reaction energies ($\Delta G_{rxn} \rightarrow$ overpotentials η), adsorption energies ($E_{ads} \rightarrow$ TOF/selectivity)

High-throughput screening

Candidates identified through screening frameworks considered with varying reaction conditions and criteria for Pourbaix stability.

T (°C)	60	60	80	80
U (V)	1.8	1.2-2	1.8	1.2-2
Bulk	122 ^a	99 ^e	120 ⁱ	99 ^m
Bulk/Wulff	83 ^b	62 ^f	81 ^j	62 ⁿ
Bulk/Wulff/Nano	111 ^c	83 ^g	121 ^k	84 ^o

Formula	η_{OER} (V)	Rxn conditions
MnBiO ₃	0.51	a,b,c,i,j,k
Cu ₃ (SbO ₃) ₄	0.37	a,e,i,m
AgSnO ₃	0.49	a,e,i,m
MnTiO ₃	0.2 (0.08)	a,b,c,i,j,k
CuMoO ₄	0.46	a,b,c,e,f,g,i,j,k,m,n,o
BaMn ₂ O ₃	0.62	k
Li(CuO) ₂	0.52	k
Mn ₂ BeO ₄	0.32	c,g,k,o
ScMn ₂ O ₄	0.29 (0.33)	k
TiCu ₃ O ₄	0.38	g,o

OC22 (4,119)

Pourbaix stable (T, U, pH) 1,853

Stable surfaces on Wulff (T, U, pH) 1,539 mats. 11,918 surfs.

Active $\eta < 0.75$ V 159 mats. 816 surfs. 101 mats. 512 surfs.

Metastable $E_{hull} < 0.1$ eV 147 92

Cost < \$8,346 121 81

Nanoparticles

Electrochemical and nanoscale stability

Pourbaix diagrams can be used to determine bulk/surface electrochemical stability by plotting the formation energy of the bulk (ΔG_{PBX}) or surface energy (γ) as a function of pH and applied potential (U). Formation energies are referenced to the chemical potential of oxygen given by:

$$\Delta\mu_{O_2} = \mu_{O_2} - \mu_{O_2}^0 = 4.92 + 2\mu_{H_2O}^0 - 4\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_{H_2}^0 - eU - k_B T p H \ln 10\right) + \Delta G_{corr}^0$$

From γ of facets (hkl), we can construct the Wulff shape which indicates which surfaces are most likely to appear on the equilibrium crystal of a material.

Surface energy:

$$\gamma(\mu_M, \mu_{O_2}) = \frac{E_{slab}^{A_{slab}M_{ny}+kO_{nz}+j} - nE_{bulk}^{A_xM_yO_z} + k\mu_M + j\frac{1}{2}(\mu_{O_2})}{2A}$$

Selectivity / activity of NO₃⁻RR on 1,000

Ethylene production on 715 intermetallics

Activity of methane steam reform on 745

Overpotential (activity)

Conclusions

- Pre-trained MLPs allows us to create screening frameworks for catalysts.
- Do so by combining surface property predictions (E_{ads} , ΔG_{rxn} , etc.) w/ electrochemistry (MCM, BEP, etc.) to estimate TOF / selectivity / η_{OER}
- Example of such a framework identifies oxide electrocatalysts for OER.
- Predicting total DFT energy of slabs allows for thermodynamic analysis: Wulff shapes, G_f^{NP} , and η_{OER} to help identify acid stable catalysts.
- We developed such a framework to identify 122 (68) bulk (nano) stable oxide catalysts for OER.

We can define nanoparticle formation energy (G_f^{NP}) as the sum of surface and bulk formation energy: $G_f^{NP}(\mu_{O_2}, \mu_M) = \Delta G_{PBX}^V \left(\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3\right) + \bar{\gamma}(4\pi r^2)$

Surface area 6 cm² 24 cm² 54 cm²

Volume 1 cm³ 8 cm³ 27 cm³

SA:V 6:1 3:1 2:1

γ is insignificant at the macroscale, but becomes relevant to at the nanoscale due to the increase in surface area-to-volume ratio.

This can lead to better stabilization of metastable/unstable oxides.

We use the volume, surface area, and surface energy of the Wulff shape to describe G_f^{NP} .

Citations

Tran, R., et al. (2022). ACS Catalysis. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c05426>

Tran, R., et al. (2024). Nanoscale. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4nr01390e>

Acknowledgements

